Using data-compressors for statistical analysis of problems on homogeneity testing and classification

Boris Ryabko
Institute of Computational Technologies of SB RAS
Novosibirsk, Russian Federation

Andrey Guskov
The State Public Scientific Technological
Library of SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russian Federation

Irina Selivanova
The State Public Scientific Technological
Library of SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russian Federation

arXiv:1701.04028v1 [cs.IT] 15 Jan 2017

***Abstract*—Nowadays data compressors are applied to many problems of text analysis, but many such applications are developed outside of the framework of mathematical statistics. In this paper we overcome this obstacle and show how several methods of classical mathematical statistics can be developed based on applications of the data compressors.**

keywords: data compression, hypothesis testing, homogeneity test, classification, universal code.

I. Introduction

Data compression methods (or universal codes) were discovered in the 1960's and nowadays they are widely used to compress texts for their storage or transmission. In the last thirty years, it was recognized that data compressors can be used for many purposes which are far from file compaction. In particular, it was shown that methods of data compression can be used for prediction and hypothesis testing for time series in the framework of classical mathematical statistics, see [15] and a review there. Later, several authors applied data compressors to problems which are close, in spirit, to homogeneity testing, estimation of correlation and covariance, classifications, clustering and some others; see [3], [4], [10], [11], [18]. The main idea of their approach can be understood from the following example. Suppose that there are three sequences of letters $x_1x_2...x_n$, y_1 y_2 ... y_k, $z_1z_2...z_m$ and a certain data-compressor φ. The sequences $x_1x_2...x_n$, y_1 y_2 ... y_k obey different probability distributions, whereas $z_1z_2...z_m$ obeys one of them. The goal is to determine this distribution. (It is the well-known "three samples problem".) If $x_1x_2...x_n$ and $z_1z_2...z_m$ obey the same probability distribution, then, the sequence $z_1z_2...z_m$ will be compressed better after $x_1x_2...x_n$ than after y_1 ... y_k. More precisely, if one compresses sequences $x_1x_2...x_n$, y_1 y_2 ... y_k and combined ones $x_1x_2...x_nz_1z_2...z_m$ and y_1 y_2 ... $y_kz_1z_2...z_m$, the difference $|\varphi(x_1x_2...x_nz_1z_2...z_m)| - |\varphi(x_1x_2...x_n)|$ will be less than $|\varphi(y_1y_2...y_kz_1z_2...z_m)|-|\varphi(y_1y_2...y_k)|$ (Here $|U|$ is the length U.) For instance, let $x_1x_2...x_n$, $z_1z_2...z_m$ be texts in English, whereas y_1 y_2 ... y_k is in German. Then the English text $z_1z_2...z_m$ will be compressed better after the text in the same language $(x_1x_2...x_n)$ than after the text in German $(y_1y_2...y_k)$, i.e. the first difference will be less than the second one.

This natural approach was used for diagnostic of the authorship of literary and musical texts, for estimation of closeness of DNA sequences, construction of phylogenetic trees and many other problems ([3], [4], [6], [10], [11], [18], [19]). Many papers (see [11] and review there) were devoted to the measurement of the interdependence between sequences (or the association, similarity, closeness, etc.), because such measures plays an important rule in clustering, classification and some other methods of text analysis. It is important to note, that their approaches are outside of the framework of mathematical statistics and, in particular, do not give a possibility to reason about consistency of estimates, tests, classifiers, clustering, etc.

It is important that the modern data compressors are based on so-called universal codes and the main properties of universal codes are valid for them (as far as asymptotic properties can be valid for a real computer program). A formal definition of universal codes is given in Appendix 1, but here we informally note that universal codes can compress sequences generated by a source with unknown statistics till its Shannon entropy, which, in turn, is a lower limit on lossless compression. Note that nowadays there are many classes of universal

codes which are based on different ideas and showed their practical efficiency. Out of the most popular we mention the PPM algorithm, which is used along with the arithmetic code ([1], [14]), Lempel-Ziv codes ([20], [21]), Borrows-Willer transformation ([2], [12]) which is used along with the "book stack" (or MTF) code ([16], [17]) and some others (see for review ([13], [15]).

In this paper we show how the idea of compression of combined texts described above can be used for solving problems of homogeneity testing, classification, and estimation of a measure of interdependence, or the association. A distinction of the suggested method from other approaches is that it belongs to the framework of mathematical statistics.

II. Definitions and problem formulations

First we briefly consider the main properties of so-called universal codes, paying the main attention to their meaning whereas formal definitions of codes, stationary ergodic sources and Shannon entropy are given in Appendix 1 and can be found in [5], [15].

Here we only note that we consider so-called lossless codes φ which encode words over alphabet A using words from the binary alphabet $\{0,1\}$ in such a way that any word can be decoded without mistakes, i.e. there exists a map φ^{-1} such that for any word w over A $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(w)) = w$. Let a code φ be applied to encode sequences generated by a stationary ergodic source μ. The value $R_t(\varphi,\mu) = \frac{1}{t}\,|\varphi(x_1...x_t)| - h_\infty(\mu)$ is called the redundancy, where $h_\infty(\mu)$ is the limit entropy, see Appendix 1. (It is known that $h_\infty(\mu)$ is an attainable lower limit for lossless codes, that is why the difference is called the redundancy.) By definition, the code φ is called universal if the redundancy goes to 0 as t grows, i.e. $\lim_{t\to\infty} R_t(\varphi,\mu) = 0$. (In other words, a universal code compresses sequences generated by any stationary ergodic source till the limit value.)

The main goal of the paper is to give a compression-based solution for the following problems:

i) Homogeneity test, where there are several sequences $x_1^1x_2^1...x_{n_1}^1$, ..., $x_1^kx_2^k...x_{n_k}^k$, $y_1^1y_2^1...y_{m_1}^1$, ... $y_1^sy_2^s...y_{m_s}^s$, generated either by a single source or by two different ones, and two corresponding hypotheses. We also consider the more general case where there are more than two different sets of sequences.

ii) Classification problems, where there are samples $x_1^1x_2^1...x_{n_1}^1$, ..., $x_1^kx_2^k...x_{n_k}^k$, $y_1^1y_2^1...y_{m_1}^1$, ... $y_1^sy_2^s...y_{m_s}^s$ generated two different (but unknown) sources and $z_1...z_l$ is generated by one of the two. The goal is to determine which of them generated $z_1...z_l$.

iii) Estimation of a so-called measurement of interdependence, or the association.

III. The main theorems

First we present a theorem which can be considered as a theoretical basis for application of data compressors for solving the problems described above. First, for two words $v_1..v_l$ and $u_1..u_s$ we define the following value:

$$|\varphi(v_1..v_l/u_1..u_s)| = |\varphi(u_1..u_sv_1..v_l)| - |\varphi(u_1..u_s)|, \tag{1}$$

where s, l are integers. Informally, it is the length of the codeword for $v_1..v_l$ if it is encoded with the word $u_1..u_s$.

Theorem 1. *Let μ_x and μ_y be stationary ergodic sources generating letters from a finite alphabet A and let their memory be upper-bounded by a certain constant M. Suppose that $...y_{-t}\ y_{-t+1}\ ...\ y_{-1}y_0$ is generated by μ_y, whereas $...x_{-t}x_{-t+1}...x_{-1}x_0$ and $w_1...w_m, m \geq 1$, are generated by μ_x in such a way that all three sequences are independent, and let φ be a universal code. Define*

$$\Delta_{t,k,m} = |\varphi(w_1...w_m/y_{-k}y_{-k+1}...y_{-1}y_0)| - |\varphi(w_1...w_m/x_{-t}x_{-t+1}...x_{-1}x_0)| \tag{2}$$

where $|\varphi(v_1..v_l/u_1..u_s)|$ is defined in (1). Then there exists such a constant λ and an integer m_0 that for any $m > m_0$ there is such an integer L that, for any $t > m + L$, $k > m + L$,

$$E_{\mu_x}E_{\mu_y}(\Delta_{t,k,m}) \ \geq\ \lambda\, m + \circ(m)\,, \tag{3}$$

and, if $\mu_x = \mu_y$ then $\lambda = 0$, otherwise $\lambda > 0$. Here $E_\nu()$ is the expectation with respect to the measure ν.

The proof is given in Appendix 2, whereas here we give some explanations of the theorem. The theorem compares two cases: the word $w_1...w_m$ is compressed either together with $x_{-t}x_{-t+1}...x_{-1}x_0$ or with $y_{-k}y_{-k+1}...y_{-1}y_0$. The word $w_1...w_m$ is generated by μ_x, hence, it should be compressed better with $x_{-t}x_{-t+1}...x_{-1}x_0$ and the value $|\varphi(w_1...w_t/x_{-t}x_{-t+1}...x_{-1}x_0)|$(= $|\varphi(x_{-t}x_{-t+1}$ ... $x_{-1}x_0w_1...w_t)| - |\varphi(x_{-t}x_{-t+1}...x_{-1}x_0)|$) should be less than $|\varphi(w_1...w_t/y_{-k}\ y_{-k+1}...y_{-1}y_0)|$. The theorem shows, that, indeed, the word $w_1...w_m$ is compressed better together with $x_{-t}x_{-t+1}...x_{-1}x_0$ if the lengths of sequences $w_1w_2...w_m$, $x_{-t}\ x_{-t+1}\ ...x_{-1}x_0$ and $y_{-k}y_{-k+1}...y_{-1}y_0$ are sufficiently large.

Let there be two sets of sequences: $X = \{\, x_1^1x_2^1...x_{n_1}^1, x_1^2x_2^2...x_{n_2}^2, ..., x_1^kx_2^k...x_{n_k}^k\}$, and $Y = \{\, y_1^1y_2^1..., y_{m_1}^1, y_1^2y_2^2...y_{m_2}^2, ...\ y_1^sy_2^s...y_{m_s}^s\}$, generated by possibly different measures μ_x and μ_y. We consider two hypotheses $H_0 = \{\mu_x = \mu_y\}$ and $H_1 = \{\mu_x \neq \mu_y\}$ and our goal is to develop a statistical test for them using the sets X and Y. First we give an informal description of the suggested test, which will be based on data compression.

Combine a half of the sequences from the set X into X^* (say, $x_1^1x_2^1...x_{n_1}^1$, $x_1^2x_2^2...x_{n_1}^2$, ... , $x_1^{\lfloor k/2\rfloor}x_2^{\lfloor k/2\rfloor}...x_{n_{\lfloor k/2\rfloor}}^{\lfloor k/2\rfloor}$) and half of Y (say and $y_1^1y_2^1...y_{m_1}^1$, $y_1^1y_2^2...y_{m_2}^2$, ..., $y_1^{\lfloor s/2\rfloor}y_2^{\lfloor s/2\rfloor}...y_{m_{\lfloor s/2\rfloor}}^{\lfloor s/2\rfloor}$)) into Y^*. Then compress all other sequences using a universal code φ along with X^* and Y^*. If H_0 is true, the values $|\varphi(x_1^ix_2^i...x_{n_i}^i/\ X^*)|$, $i = \lfloor k/2\rfloor + 1, ..., k$ and $|\varphi(y_1^jy_2^j...y_{m_j}^j/\ X^*)|$, $j = \lfloor s/2\rfloor+1, ..., s$ should be evenly mixed. Otherwise, if H_1 is true, then, on average, the numbers from the second set should be larger than those from the first one, because the probability distributions of the sequences from $y_j^iy_j^i...y_{m_j}^i$ and X^* are different, whereas the probability distributions of the sequences $x_1^ix_2^i...x_{n_i}^i$ and X^* are the same (here and below $|U|$ is the number of elements in U).

The test. A more formal description of the suggested test is as follows: i) Denote the set $X \setminus X^*$ by $\hat{X}$ and $Y \setminus Y^*$ by $\hat{Y}$ and calculate for any $x_1^ix_2^i...x_{n_i}^i$ from $\hat{X}$ the values

$$\gamma_i = |\varphi(x_1^ix_2^i...x_{n_i}^i/Y^*)| - |\varphi(x_1^ix_2^i...x_{n_i}^i/X^*)| \quad (4)$$

and for any $y_1^jy_2^j...y_{m_j}^j$ from $\hat{Y}$

$$\delta_j = |\varphi(y_1^jy_2^j...y_{m_j}^j/X^*)| - |\varphi(y_1^jy_2^j...y_{m_j}^j/Y^*)|. \quad (5)$$

Define

$$n_{1,1} = |\{i : \gamma_i \geq 0\}|,\ n_{1,2} = |\{i : \gamma_i < 0\}|,$$
$$n_{2,1} = |\{i : \delta_i < 0\}|, n_{2,2} = |\{i : \delta_i \geq 0\}|. \quad (6)$$

ii) Apply the test of the independence for the 2×2 table to

$$N_{2,2} = \begin{vmatrix} n_{1,1} & n_{1,2} \\ n_{2,1} & n_{2,2} \end{vmatrix}.$$

A detailed analysis of this problem is carried out in [7], part 33. In particular, there is a description of efficient tests for homogeneity problem for the 2×2 table (see part 33.22). We denote this test as Ψ_α, where α is the level of significance. Note, that there are some requirements for values of $n_{1,1}, n_{1,2}, n_{2,1}, n_{2,2}$ which should be valid if Ψ_α is used (see [7]).

Theorem 2. *Let μ_x and μ_y be stationary ergodic measures whose memory is finite (but, possibly, unknown). If the above described test is applied for testing H_0 against H_1 along with the test Ψ_α and the requirements of Ψ_α for values of $n_{1,1}, n_{1,2}, n_{2,1}, n_{2,2}$ are valid, then for any code φ the Type I error is not grater than α.*

If φ is a universal code, $|X|$ and $|Y|$ go to infinity and the lengths of all sequences from X and Y go to infinity in such a way that for all sequences $x, x^* \in X$, $y, y^* \in Y$ the ratios $|x|/|x^*|$ and $|y|/|y^*|$ are upper-bounded by a certain constant, then, with probability 1, the Type II error goes to 0.

The proof is given in Appendix 2, but here we give some comments. First, note that there are many tests of homogeneity for 2×2 tables and, in principle, any of them can be used. That is why, we do not describe the test Ψ_α on sizes of $n_{1,1}, n_{1,2}, n_{2,1}, n_{2,2}$. Second, the described method can be easily extended from the two-sample problem to the s-sample problem, $s > 2$. Namely, let there be $s, s > 2$, sets V_1, $V_2, ...$, V_s of sequences generated by stationary ergodic sources μ_1, μ_2 $..., \mu_s$. Let there be the hypotheses $H_0 = \{\mu_1 = \mu_2$ $= ... = \mu_s\}$ and $H_1 = \bar{H}_0$. In this case we carry out calculations based on the scheme described above in order to obtain a so-called $s \times s$ table and then apply a test for homogeneity, see ([7]).

Measurement of the interdependence and association. If the hypothesis of homogeneity is rejected, it is natural to measure interdependence. We suggest to measure interdependence between two sets of sequences X and Y (and the corresponding sources) based on the above described 2×2 tables. (In the case of the s-sample problem, $s > 2$, the measures will be based on the $s \times s$ table.) This problem is well-investigated in the mathematical statistics, see, for example, ([7], part 33). That is why, we mention such measures only briefly. For 2×2 tables we mention the coefficient of association, Q, defined by the equation $Q = (n_{1,1}n_{2,2} - n_{1,2}n_{2,1})/n_{1,1}n_{2,2} + n_{1,2}n_{2,1})$ and the coefficient $V = (n_{1,1}n_{2,2} - n_{1,2}n_{2,1})/$ $\sqrt{(n_{1,1} + n_{2,2})(n_{1,1} + n_{2,1})(n_{1,2} + n_{2,2})(n_{2,1} + n_{2,2})}$, see, ([7], part 33). It is important to note that there are well-known methods of building standard errors and confidence interval for Q and V ([7], part 33).

Let there be sequences $\hat{w}^1 = w_1^1w_2^1...w_{m_1}^1$, $\hat{w}^2 = w_1^2w_2^2...w_{m_2}^2$, ..., $\hat{w}^k = w_1^kw_2^k...w_{m_k}^k$, generated by stationary ergodic sources ν_1, ..., ν_k, correspondingly, where $k \geq 2$. There is a new sequence $\hat{u} = u_1u_2...u_n$, $n \geq 2$, and it is known beforehand that it is generated by one of the sources from $\{\nu_1, ..., \nu_k\}$. The problem of classification is to determine which source generated the sequence $\hat{u} = u_1u_2...u_n$. By definition, a method of classification is called asymptotically consistent if, with probability 1, the method finds ν which generated the sequence $u_1u_2...u_n$ when $\min(n, m_1, m_2, ..., m_k)$ goes to infinity.

Method of classification. We suggest the following method of classification: decide that the sequence

$u_1u_2...u_n$ was generated the source ν_j for which

$$j = \arg \min_{i=1,...,k} |\varphi(u_1u_2...u_n/w_1^i w_2^i ... w_{m_k}^i)| . \quad (7)$$

Theorem 3. *Let ν_1, ..., ν_k be stationary ergodic measures whose memories are finite (but, possibly, unknown). If φ is a universal code and the lengths of all sequences $\hat{u}$, $\hat{w}_1$, $\hat{w}_2$, ..., $\hat{w}_k$ go to infinity in such a way that*

$$\lim_{|\hat{u}|\to\infty} |\hat{u}|/\min_j |\hat{w}^j| = 0 , \quad (8)$$

then the described method is asymptotically consistent.

IV. Appendix 1

Let τ be a stationary ergodic source generating letters from a finite alphabet A. (Definitions can be found in [5].) The $m-$ order (conditional) Shannon entropy and the limit Shannon entropy are defined as follows:

$$h_m(\tau) = -\sum_{v\in A^m} \tau(v) \sum_{a\in A} \tau(a|\, v) \log \tau(a|\, v),$$
$$h_\infty(\tau) = \lim_{m\to\infty} h_m(\tau). \quad (9)$$

It is known that for any integer m

$$h_m(\tau) \geq h_{m+1}(\tau) \geq h_\infty(\tau), \quad (10)$$

see [5]. Now we define codes. Let A^∞ be the set of all infinite words $x_1x_2\dots$ over the alphabet A. A data compression method (or code) φ is defined as a set of mappings φ_n such that $\varphi_n : A^n \to \{0,1\}^*$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and for each pair of different words $x, y \in A^n$ $\varphi_n(x) \neq \varphi_n(y)$. Informally, it means that the code φ can be applied for compression of each message of any length n over the alphabet A and the message can be decoded if its code is known. It is also required that each sequence $\varphi_n(u_1)\varphi_n(u_2)...\varphi_n(u_r), r \geq 1$, of encoded words from the set $A^n, n \geq 1$, can be uniquely decoded into $u_1u_2...u_r$. Such codes are called uniquely decodable. For example, let $A = \{a, b\}$, the code $\psi_1(a) = 0, \psi_1(b) = 00$, obviously, is not uniquely decodable. It is well known that if a code φ is uniquely decodable then the lengths of the codewords satisfy the following inequality (Kraft inequality): $\Sigma_{u\in A^n} 2^{-|\varphi(u)|} \leq 1$, see, for ex., [5]. Moreover, if the sum $\Sigma_{u\in A^n} 2^{-|\varphi(u)|}$ is less than 1, there exists such a code φ^* that i) $\Sigma_{u\in A^n} 2^{-|\varphi^*(u)|} = 1$, and $|\varphi^*(u)| \leq |\varphi(u)|$ for any word u, [5]. (Informally, it means, that φ^* compresses better.) So, we can consider only codes for which

$$\Sigma_{u\in A^n} 2^{-|\varphi(u)|} = 1 . \quad (11)$$

We will use a so-called Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence, which is defined by

$$D(P||Q) = \sum_{b\in B} P(b) \log \frac{P(b)}{Q(b)} , \quad (12)$$

where $P(b)$ and $Q(b)$ are probability distributions over alphabet B. It is known that for any distributions P and Q the KL divergence is nonnegative and equals 0 if and only if $P(a) = Q(a)$ for all a .

Let us describe universal codes, or data compressors. (A detailed description can be found, for example, in [15].) First we note that (as is known in Information Theory) sequences generated by a source p can be "compressed" on average till the limit Shannon entropy $h_\infty(p)$ and, on the other hand, there is no code ψ for which the expected codeword length is less than $h_\infty(p)$; see [5]. As defined above, a code φ is universal if it compresses till this limit. We will consider universal codes which can be applied to any word $x_1...x_s$, $n > 0$, from a certain alphabet A and such that the following natural property is valid: for any words u, $v, |v| > 0$,

$$|\varphi(uv)| - |\varphi(u)| > 0 . \quad (13)$$

For any universal code φ we define a measure π_φ as follows:

$$\pi_\varphi(x_1x_2...x_t) = 2^{-|\varphi(x_1x_2...x_t)|} , \quad (14)$$

where $x_1x_2...x_t$ is a word over A. It is known that for any t

$$\sum_{u\in A^t} \pi_\varphi(u) = 1 , \quad (15)$$

(see the Kraft inequality and (11)).

Now we consider an application of universal codes (or data compressors) which is the main tool for solving the problems mentioned in Introduction. From (1) and (14) we immediately obtain that

$$|\varphi(v_1..v_l/u_1..u_s)| = -\log(\pi_\varphi(v_1..v_l|u_1..u_s)) \quad (16)$$

and the right part is defined correctly, see (13). The following property of universal codes will play an important role in what follows. Let μ_z be a stationary ergodic source generating letters from an alphabet A and φ be a universal code. For any integer $m \geq 1$ and $w_1...w_m \in A^m$ with probability 1

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \log \frac{\pi_\varphi(w_1w_2...w_m|z_{-T}...z_0)}{\mu_z(w_1w_2...w_m|z_{-T}...z_0)} = 0 . \quad (17)$$

Note that this equation shows that the code φ estimates the (unknown) probability precisely, where T grows.

V. Appendix 2: proofs

Proof of Theorem 1. First we prove the following *Claim.*

$$E_{\mu_x} E_{\mu_y} \sum_{w_1w_2...w_m} \mu_x(w_1w_2...w_m)$$

$$\log\frac{\mu_x(w_1...w_m|x_{-t}x_{-t+1}...x_0)}{\mu_y(w_1...w_m|y_{-k}y_{-k+1}...y_0)} = (m-M)\lambda + O(1)\,, \tag{18}$$

where M is a upper bound of memories of μ_x and μ_y, and λ is such a constant that $\lambda = 0$ if $\mu_x = \mu_y$ and $\lambda > 0$ otherwise.

Proof of the claim. The left side can be presented as follows:

$$E_{\mu_x}E_{\mu_y}\sum_{w_1w_2...w_m}\mu_x(w_1w_2...w_m)$$

$$\log\frac{\mu_x(w_1...w_m|x_{-t}x_{-t+1}...x_0)}{\mu_y(w_1...w_m|y_{-k}y_{-k+1}...y_0)} =$$

$$E_{\mu_x}E_{\mu_y}(\sum_{w_1w_2...w_{m-1}}\mu_x(w_1w_2...w_{m-1})$$

$$\sum_{w_m}\mu_x(w_m|w_1w_2...w_{m-1})$$

$$\log\frac{\mu_x(w_m|x_{-t}x_{-t+1}...x_0w_1w_2...w_{m-1})}{\mu_y(w_m|y_{-k}y_{-k+1}...y_0w_1w_2...w_{m-1})}$$

$$+\sum_{w_1w_2...w_{m-2}}\mu_x(w_1w_2...w_{m-2})$$

$$\sum_{w_{m-1}}\mu_x(w_{m-1}|w_1w_2...w_{m-2})$$

$$\log\frac{\mu_x(w_{m-1}|x_{-t}x_{-t+1}...x_0w_1w_2...w_{m-2})}{\mu_y(w_{m-1}|y_{-k}y_{-k+1}...y_0w_1w_2...w_{m-2})}$$

$$+...+\sum_{w_1}\mu_x(w_1)\log\frac{\mu_x(w_1|x_{-t}x_{-t+1}...x_0)}{\mu_y(w_1|y_{-k}y_{-k+1}...y_0)})\,. \tag{19}$$

It is supposed that the memory of μ_x and μ_y is upper-bounded by M. Hence, by definition, it means that $\mu_r(v|u_iu_{i+1}...u_j) = \mu_r(v|u_{j-M+1}u_{j-M+2}...u_j)$, where $j \geq i+M-1$. From this equation and the previous one we obtain the following equation:

$$E_{\mu_x}E_{\mu_y}\sum_{w_1w_2...w_m}\mu_x(w_1w_2...w_m)$$

$$\log\frac{\mu_x(w_1...w_m|x_{-t}x_{-t+1}...x_0)}{\mu_y(w_1...w_m|y_{-k}y_{-k+1}...y_0)} =$$

$$\sum_{w_1w_2...w_{m-1}}\mu_x(w_1w_2...w_{m-1})\sum_{w_m}\mu_x(w_m|w_1w_2...w_{m-1})$$

$$\log\frac{\mu_x(w_m|w_{m-M}...w_{m-1})}{\mu_y(w_m|w_{m-M}...w_{m-1})}$$

$$+\sum_{w_1...w_{m-2}}\mu_x(w_1...w_{m-2})\sum_{w_{m-1}}\mu_x(w_{m-1}|w_1...w_{m-2})$$

$$\log\frac{\mu_x(w_{m-M-1}...w_{m-2})}{\mu_y(w_{m-M-1}...w_{m-2})}$$

$$+...+\sum_{w_1...w_M}\mu_x(w_1...w_M)\sum_{w_{M+1}}\mu_x(w_{M+1}|w_1...w_M)$$

$$\log\frac{\mu_x((w_{M+1}|w_1...w_M)}{\mu_y((w_{M+1}|w_1w_2...w_M)}$$

$$+E_{\mu_x}E_{\mu_y}(\sum_{w_1w_2...w_{M-1}}\mu_x(w_1w_2...w_{M-1})$$

$$\sum_{w_M}\mu_x(w_M|x_0w_1w_2...w_{M-1})$$

$$\log\frac{\mu_x((w_M|x_0w_1w_2...w_{M-1})}{\mu_y((w_M|y_0w_1w_2...w_{M-1})}+...$$

$$+\sum_{w_1}\mu_x(w_1)\log\frac{\mu_x(w_1|x_{-M+1}x_{-M+2}...x_0)}{\mu_y(w_1|y_{-M+1}y_{-M+2}...y_0)})\,. \tag{20}$$

For any function $\psi(u_{e+1},...,u_{e+b})$ and any measure $\nu(u_1u_2...u_eu_{e+1}...u_{e+b})$

$$\sum_{u_1u_2...u_eu_{e+1}...u_{e+b}}\nu(u_1u_2...u_eu_{e+1}...u_{e+b})$$

$$\psi(u_{e+1},...,u_{e+b})$$

$$=\sum_{u_{e+1}...u_{e+b}}\nu(u_{e+1}...u_{e+b})\psi(u_{e+1},...,u_{e+b})\,,$$

where e and b are integers. Having taken into account this equation, stationarity μ_x and μ_y, equations (V), (V) and (V), we obtain

$$E_{\mu_x}E_{\mu_y}\sum_{w_1w_2...w_m}\mu_x(w_1w_2...w_m)$$

$$\log\frac{\mu_x(w_1...w_m|x_{-t}x_{-t+1}...x_0)}{\mu_y(w_1...w_m|y_{-k}y_{-k+1}...y_0)} =$$

$$(m-M)\sum_{w_1w_2...w_{m-2}}\mu_x(w_1w_2...w_M)$$

$$\sum_{w_{M+1}}\mu_x(w_{M+1}|w_1w_2...w_M)$$

$$\log\frac{\mu_x((w_{M+1}|w_1w_2...w_M)}{\mu_y((w_{M+1}|w_1w_2...w_M)}+O(1)\,.$$

From properties of K-L divergence (see (12)) we can see that $\lambda > 0$ if $\mu_x \neq \mu_y$ and, obviously, $\lambda = 0$, if $\mu_x = \mu_y$. The claim is proven.

Let us proceed with proof of the theorem. Having taken into account the definitions (16) and (1), we obtain that

$$E_{\mu_x}E_{\mu_y}(\Delta_{t,k,m}) =$$

$$\sum_{u_{-t}u_{-t+1}...u_0\in A^{t+1}}\sum_{v_{-k}v_{-k+1}...v_0\in A^{k+1}}\sum_{w_1w_2...u_m\in A^m}$$

$$\mu_y(v_{-k}v_{-k+1}...v_0)\mu_x(u_{-t}u_{-t+1}...u_0)\mu_x(w_1w_2...u_m)$$

$$\log \frac{\pi_\varphi(w_1...w_m|u_{-t}u_{-t+1}...u_0)}{\pi_\varphi(w_1...w_m|v_{-k}v_{-k+1}...v_0)}. \quad (21)$$

The following equation is obvious:

$$\log \frac{\pi_\varphi(w_1...w_m|u_{-t}u_{-t+1}...u_0)}{\pi_\varphi(w_1...w_m|v_{-k}v_{-k+1}...v_0)} =$$

$$\log \frac{\mu_x(w_1...w_m|u_{-t}u_{-t+1}...u_0)}{\mu_y(w_1...w_m|v_{-k}v_{-k+1}...v_0)} +$$

$$\log \frac{\pi_\varphi(w_1...w_m|u_{-t}u_{-t+1}...u_0)}{\mu_x(w_1...w_m|u_{-t}u_{-t+1}...u_0)} +$$

$$\log \frac{\mu_y(w_1...w_m|v_{-k}v_{-k+1}...v_0)}{\pi_\varphi(w_1...w_m|v_{-k}v_{-k+1}...v_0)}. \quad (22)$$

The first term is estimated in the claim, see (V), whereas the second and the third terms can be estimated based on (17). So, from the claim and (17), we can see that, with probability 1, $E_{\mu_x}E_{\mu_y}(\Delta_{t,k,m}) = (m-M)\lambda + O(1)$. The theorem is proven.

Proof of the Theorem 2. First we consider the case where H_0 is true. It means that the sequences from $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{Y}$ obey the same distribution. Hence, γ_i (4) and δ_j (5) have the same distribution, too, and the above mentioned test Ψ_α from [7], part 33, can be applied. Now we consider the case where H_1 is true. In this case the length of any sequence grows, so, the length will be grater than m_0 from Theorem 1. The number of sequences grows to infinity and the total length of a half of them goes to infinity in such a way that for any integer L the total length will be grater than the sum $m+L$ from Theorem 1. From this theorem we can see that $n_{1,2}$ and $n_{2,1}$ goes to 0 and, hence, the Type II error goes to 0.

Proof of the Theorem 3. Suppose, that the sequence $u_1u_2...u_n$ was generated by ν_j. Then, we can see from Theorem 1 that, with probability 1, the value $|\varphi(u_1u_2...u_n/w_1^iw_2^i...w_{m_k}^i)|$ grows as $\lambda_i n + \circ(n)$, $\lambda_i > 0$, if $i \neq j$, (i.e. $w_1^iw_2^i...w_{m_i}^i$) is generated by ν_i). On the other hand, $|\varphi(u_1u_2...u_n/w_1^iw_2^i...w_{m_k}^i)| = \circ(n)$. Hence, $|\varphi(u_1u_2...u_n/\ w_1^i\ w_2^i\ ...\ w_{m_i}^i)|$ is minimal when $i=j$ (i.o., $u_1u_2...u_n$ is generated by ν_j). The theorem is proven.

REFERENCES

[1] Bell, T., Witten, I. H., Cleary, J. G., 1989. Modeling for text compression. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR) 21 (4), 557–591.

[2] Burrows, M., Wheeler, D. J., 1994. A block-sorting lossless data compression algorithm.

[3] Cilibrasi, R., Vitanyi, P., 2005. Clustering by compression. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 51, 1523–1545.

[4] Cilibrasi, R., Vitányi, P., De Wolf, R., 2004. Algorithmic clustering of music based on string compression. Computer Music Journal 28 (4), 49–67.

[5] Cover, T. M., Thomas, J. A., 2006. Elements of information theory. Wiley-Interscience, New York, NY, USA.

[6] Ferragina, P., Giancarlo, R., Greco, V., Manzini, G., Valiente, G., 2007. Compression-based classification of biological sequences and structures via the universal similarity metric: experimental assessment. BMC bioinformatics 8 (1), 1.

[7] Kendall, M., Stuart, A., 1961. The advanced theory of statistics; Vol.2: Inference and relationship. London.

[8] Khmelev, D. V., Teahan, W. J., 2003. A repetition based measure for verification of text collections and for text categorization. In: Proceedings of the 26th annual international ACM SIGIR conference on Research and development in informaion retrieval. ACM, pp. 104–110.

[9] Kieffer, J. C., Yang, E.-H., 2000. Grammar-based codes: a new class of universal lossless source codes. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 46 (3), 737–754.

[10] Kukushkina, O. V., Polikarpov, A., Khmelev, D. V., 2001. Using literal and grammatical statistics for authorship attribution. Problems of Information Transmission 37 (2), 172–184.

[11] Li, M., Chen, X., Li, X., Ma, B., Vitányi, P. M., 2004. The similarity metric. IEEE transactions on Information Theory 50 (12), 3250–3264.

[12] Manzini, G., 2001. An analysis of the burrowswheeler transform. Journal of the ACM (JACM) 48 (3), 407–430.

[13] Rissanen, J., Jul. 1984. Universal coding, information, prediction, and estimation. Information Theory, IEEE Transactions on 30 (4), 629 – 636.

[14] Rissanen, J., Langdon, G. G., 1979. Arithmetic coding. IBM Journal of research and development 23 (2), 149–162.

[15] Ryabko, B., Astola, J., Malyutov, M., 2016. Compression-Based Methods of Statistical Analysis and Prediction of Time Series. Springer.

[16] Ryabko, B. Y., 1980. Data compression by means of a book stack. Problemy Peredachi Informatsii 16 (4), 16–21.

[17] Ryabko, B., Horspool, N. R., Cormack, G. V., Sekar, S., Ahuja, S. B., 1987. Technical correspondence. Communications of the ACM 30 (9), 792–797.

[18] Teahan, W. J., Harper, D. J., 2003. Using compression-based language models for text categorization. In: Language modeling for information retrieval. Springer, pp. 141–165.

[19] Vitányi, P. M., 2011. Information distance in multiples. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 57 (4), 2451–2456.

[20] Ziv, J., Lempel, A., 1977. A universal algorithm for sequential data compression. IEEE Transactions on information theory 23 (3), 337–343.

[21] Ziv, J., Lempel, A., 1978. Compression of individual sequences via variable-rate coding. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 24, 530–536.